

F

02000HS210052002


Pages: 2

Reg No.: _____

Name: _____

APJ ABDUL KALAM TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

Fourth semester B.Tech examinations (S), September 2020



Course Code: HS210

Course Name: LIFE SKILLS

Max. Marks: 50

Duration: 2 Hours

PART A

Answer all questions, each carries 6 marks.

Marks

- | | | |
|---|--|-----|
| 1 | a) What are the core rules of Netiquette? | (4) |
| | b) What are the characteristics of a demi-official letter? | (2) |
| 2 | a) Explain the six thinking hats method. | (4) |
| | b) What is divergent thinking? | (2) |
| 3 | a) Explain TPM cycle. | (4) |
| | b) List the various steps involved in group problem solving. | (2) |
| 4 | a) Explain Gilligan's theory of moral development. | (4) |
| | b) What are the senses of Engineering ethics? | (2) |
| 5 | a) Differentiate between transactional leadership and transformational leadership. | (4) |
| | b) List the major skills required for a leader. | (2) |

PART B

Read carefully the following case and answer the questions given below, it carries 20 marks.

(Case study)

Professor Tilak is a senior faculty in a reputed engineering college in India. In the present academic year, he is guiding Mr. Kiran for final year MTech project work. In August, Mr. Kiran has chosen a topic and is approved by the guide. However, after selecting the topic, he joined a coaching centre to prepare for public sector jobs. His plan was to get a job as early as possible due to financial problems. Until February, he Ignored the MTech project and didn't work for it. Prof. Tilak sent repeated reminders during this period. Every time Mr. Kiran replied that the work is in progress and he will send the progress report as early as possible. However, he neither sent the progress report nor met the professor in person. In February, Mr. Kiran understood that he would not finish the work in the specified time. Afterward, he downloaded a similar work from the internet, made some changes, and sent it to the professor as the final project

report. Prof. Tilak checked the report using a plagiarism checker software (plagiarism: using someone's ideas without acknowledging it). The software reported a 90% similarity to previously published work. Furious with the student, Prof. Tilak reported the matter to HOD.

- 6 a) Identify the key players in this case. (2)
- b) What disciplinary action could Mr. Kiran expect from HOD? (4)
- c) What are the ethical issues associated with this case? (5)
- d) If you were in the place of Mr. Kiran, what will be your course of action from the beginning of the project work? (4)
- e) Do you consider plagiarism as a serious offence? What are the problems associated with plagiarism? (5)
